

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/047,499	ZEIRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Denise Tran	2186	

All Participants:

(1) Denise Tran.

(2) Edward Langer Reg. No. 30,564).

Status of Application: amendment after the first action

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

112 second

Claims discussed:

6, 8, and 10-17

Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The message was left about a propose amendment to overcome the 112 second rejection in order to place the application in an early allowance condition; however, there was no response.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Denise Tran
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)